

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7           UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8           WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9           AT SEATTLE

10 TROUT UNLIMITED, PACIFIC RIVERS  
11 COUNCIL, PACIFIC COAST  
12 FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S  
13 ASSOCIATIONS, INSTITUTE FOR  
14 FISHERIES RESOURCES, NATIVE FISH  
15 SOCIETY, OREGON NATURAL  
16 RESOURCES COUNCIL, UMPQUA  
17 WATERSHEDS, and COAST RANGE  
18 ASSOCIATION,

19                 Plaintiffs,

20                 vs.

21 D. ROBERT LOHN, Northwest Regional  
22 Administrator of National Marine Fisheries  
23 Service, and CARLOS M. GUTIERREZ,  
24 Secretary of Commerce, U.S. Department of  
25 Commerce,

26                 Defendants.

27                 Civ. No. 06-904RSL

28                 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO COMPEL AND  
DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION FOR AN  
EXTENSION OF TIME.

This matter comes before the Court on "Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Production of the Administrative Record" (Dkt. #10) and "Defendants' Opposition to Motion To Compel and Cross-Motion for Extension of Time to File the Administrative Record." (Dkt. #13). Plaintiffs move, pursuant to Local Rule 79(h), to compel defendants to turn over the administrative record for this case within 20 days. Defendants oppose plaintiffs' motion and cross-move to obtain an

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'MOTION  
TO COMPEL AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION  
FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME.-1

1 additional 60 days to file the administrative record in the event that the Court denied its Motion  
2 to Transfer (Dkt. #8).

3 Because the Court has ordered the case to be transferred to the District of Oregon (Dkt.  
4 #24), the Court no longer has jurisdiction over this matter. See State of Utah v. Am. Pipe and  
5 Constr. Co., 316 F. Supp. 837 (C.D. Cal. 1970) ("It is manifest that after an order changing  
6 venue, the jurisdiction of the transferor court...ceases over the transferred action."). As such,  
7 both plaintiffs' motion and defendants' cross-motion are denied due to the Court's lack of  
8 jurisdiction.

9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion to compel is DENIED, and  
10 defendants' motion for an extension of time to file the administrative record is DENIED.

11  
12 DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2006

13  
14   
15

16 Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge

17 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'MOTION  
18 TO COMPEL AND DEFENDANTS' CROSS-MOTION  
19 FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME.-2